arXiv:math-ph/0106004v2 11 Aug 2001

Structures Preserved by Consistently Graded Lie Superalgebras

T. A. Larsson
Vanadisvägen 29, S-113 23 Stockholm, Sweden,
email: Thomas.Larsson@hdd.se

February 7, 2008

Abstract

Dual Pfaff equations (of the form $\widetilde{D}^a = 0$, $\widetilde{D}^a$ some vector fields of degree -1) preserved by the exceptional infinite-dimensional simple Lie superalgebras $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ and $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ are constructed, yielding an intrinsic geometric definition of these algebras. This leads to conditions on the vector fields, which are solved explicitly. Expressions for preserved differential form equations (Pfaff equations), brackets (similar to contact brackets) and tensor modules are written down. The analogous construction for the contact superalgebra $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ (a.k.a. the centerless $N = m$ superconformal algebra) is reviewed.

1 Introduction

The simple Lie algebras of vector fields (v.f.) were classified by Cartan in 1909 [3]. The classification consists of the four infinite series:

$\mathfrak{vect}(n)$	general v.f. in n dimensions,
$\mathfrak{svect}(n)$	divergence-free v.f.,
$\mathfrak{h}(n)$	Hamiltonian v.f (n even),
$\mathfrak{k}(n)$	contact v.f. (n odd).

The corresponding problem in the super case was only recently settled [5, 8, 13, 17], building on earlier work in [1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 11, 12, 14, 15, 16, 18]. The classification consists of ten infinite series:

$\mathfrak{vect}(n\vert m)$	arbitrary v.f. in $n\vert m$ dimensions,
$\mathfrak{svect}(n\vert m)$	divergence-free v.f.,
$\mathfrak{h}(n\vert m)$	Hamiltonian v.f. (n even),
$\mathfrak{le}(n)$	odd Hamiltonian or Leitesian v.f. $\subset \mathfrak{vect}(n\vert n)$,
$\mathfrak{sle}(n)$	divergence free Leitesian v.f.,
$\mathfrak{k}(n\vert m)$	contact v.f. (n odd),
$\mathfrak{ko}(n)$	odd contact v.f. $\subset \mathfrak{vect}(n\vert n+1)$,
$\mathfrak{sko}_\beta(n)$	a deformation of div-free odd contact v.f.,
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sle}}(n)$	a deformation of $\mathfrak{sle}(n)$,
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sko}}(n)$	a deformation of $\mathfrak{sko}(n)$.

A geometric way to describe these algebras is by stating what structures they preserve, or what other conditions the vector fields obey:

Algebra	Basis	Description/structure preserved
$\mathfrak{vect}(n\vert m)$	u^i, θ^a	$-$
$\mathfrak{svect}(n\vert m)$	u^i, θ^a	vol
$\mathfrak{h}(n\vert m)$	u^i, θ^a	$\omega_{ij} du^i du^j + g_{ab} d\theta^a d\theta^b$
$\mathfrak{le}(n)$	u^i, θ_i	$du^i d\theta_i$
$\mathfrak{sle}(n)$	u^i, θ_i	$du^i d\theta_i, vol$
$\mathfrak{k}(n+1\vert m)$	t, u^i, θ^a	$dt + \omega_{ij} u^i du^j + g_{ab} \theta^a d\theta^b = 0$
$\mathfrak{ko}(n)$	τ, u^i, θ_i	$d\tau + u^i d\theta_i + \theta_i du^i = 0$
$\mathfrak{sko}_\beta(n)$	τ, u^i, θ_i	$M_f \in \mathfrak{ko}(n) : \mathrm{div}_\beta M_f = 0$
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sle}}(n)$	u^i, θ_i	$(1 + \theta_1..\theta_n)X, X \in \mathfrak{sle}(n)$
$\widetilde{\mathfrak{sko}}(n)$	τ, u^i, θ_i	$(1 + \theta_1..\theta_n)X, X \in \mathfrak{sko}_{\frac{n+2}{n}}(n)$

(1.1)

In this table, u^i denotes bosonic variables, θ^a and θ_i fermionic variables, and t (τ) is an extra bosonic (fermionic) variable. The indices range over the dimensions indicated: $i = 1, ..., n$ and $a = 1, ..., m$. $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ and $g_{ab} = g_{ba}$

are structure constants. The notation $\alpha = 0$ implies that it is this *Pfaff equation* that is preserved, not the form α itself; a vector field $X = X^\mu(x)\partial_\mu$ acts on α as $\mathcal{L}_X\alpha = f_X\alpha$, f_X some polynomial function. *vol* denotes the volume form; vector fields preserving *vol* satisfy $\mathrm{div}\, X \equiv (-)^{X\mu+\mu}\partial_\mu X^\mu = 0$.

$$\mathrm{div}_\beta M_f = 2(-)^f(\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial u^i \partial\theta_i} + \big(u^i\frac{\partial}{\partial u^i} + \theta_i\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_i} - n\beta\big)\frac{\partial f}{\partial\tau})$$

is a deformed divergence.

In addition, there exist five exceptional algebras, all discovered by Shchepochkina [15, 16, 17]: $\mathfrak{vas}(4|4)$, $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$, $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, and $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$; the last case was independently found by Cheng and Kac [4]. Here the numbers indicate the super-dimension of the space on which the algebras are realized. In fact, all these algebras except $\mathfrak{vas}(4|4)$ have several regradings, i.e. they can be realized on spaces of different super-dimensions. I will always pick the *consistent* grading, i.e. the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading where even subspaces are purely bosonic and odd subspaces purely fermionic.

In the mathematics literature, the exceptional Lie superalgebras are described in terms of Cartan prolongation. Unfortunately, this method is defined recursively in a way which does not exhibit the geometric content. The purpose of the present paper is to describe the structures preserved by three of the four consistently graded exceptions. Conversely, knowledge of these structures gives an intrinsic geometric definition of the algebras themselves. I also write down explicit equations satisfied by the vector fields in these algebras, give general solutions to these equations, explicitly write down the brackets (analogous to Poisson or contact brackets), and construct the tensor modules. This is listed as the first open problem in [17]. The present paper also gives a partial answer to the third item in Kac' vision list for the new millenium [9].

Let $\mathfrak{g} \subset vect(n|m)$ be an algebra of polynomial vector fields acting on $\mathbb{C}^{n|m}$. It has a Weisfeiler $\mathbb{Z}$-grading of depth d if it can be written as

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-d} + ... + \mathfrak{g}_{-1} + \mathfrak{g}_0 + \mathfrak{g}_1 + ...,$$

where the subspace $\mathfrak{g}_k$ consists of vector fields that are homogeneous of degree k, $\mathfrak{g}_0$ acts irreducibly on $\mathfrak{g}_{-1}$, and $\mathfrak{g}_{-k} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1}^k$. However, it is not the usual kind of homogeneity, because we do not assume that all directions are equivalent. Denote the coordinates of $n|m$-dimensional superspace by x^μ and let ∂_μ be the corresponding derivatives. Then we define the grading by introducing positive integers z_μ such that $\deg x^\mu = z_\mu$ and $\deg \partial_\mu = -z_\mu$. The operator which computes the Weisfeiler grading is $Z = \sum_\mu z_\mu x^\mu \partial_\mu$, and $\mathfrak{g}_k$ is the subspace of vector fields X satisfying $[Z, X] = kX$. If we only considered $\mathfrak{g}$ as a graded vector space, we could of course make any choice of integers z_μ, but we also want $\mathfrak{g}$ to be graded as a Lie algebra: $[\mathfrak{g}_i, \mathfrak{g}_j] = \mathfrak{g}_{i+j}$. The depth d is identified with the maximal z_μ. We write $\mathfrak{g}_- = \mathfrak{g}_{-d} + ... + \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 + \mathfrak{g}_2 + ...$; $\mathfrak{g}_-$ is a nilpotent superalgebra and a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ module.

Cartan prolongation is defined as follows:

1. Start with a realization for the non-positive part $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ in $n|m$-dimensional superspace.

2. Define $\mathfrak{g}_k$ recursively for positive k as the maximal subspace of $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$ satisfying $[\mathfrak{g}_k, \mathfrak{g}_{-1}] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$.

In this paper I will use the following alternative method to construct the prolong:

1. Again start with a realization for $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$.

2. Determine the set of structures preserved by this algebra.

3. Define the Cartan prolong $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathfrak{g}_{-d}, ..., \mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_0)_* \equiv (\mathfrak{g}_-, \mathfrak{g}_0)_*$, as the full subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$ preserving the same structures.

Clearly, the set of vector fields that preserve some structures automatically define a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$, so the problem is to find the right set. If the conditions imposed are too strong, the solution may be a finite-dimensional algebra, and if they are too weak, the resulting algebra may not be simple. In analogy with the known cases listed above, it is natural to assume that such a structure is either some differential form, or an equation satisfied by forms (Pfaff equation), or a system of Pfaff equations. However, although such Pfaff equations can be constructed (and are so in this paper), it is simpler to consider the set of *dual Pfaff equations*, which are of the form $\widetilde{D}^a = 0$, where $\widetilde{D}^a$ is some vector field in $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$ of degree -1 (necessarily not in $\mathfrak{g}$). Denote the space spanned by such $\widetilde{D}^a$ by $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \subset \mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$. We have $[Z, \widetilde{D}^a] = -\widetilde{D}^a$ and $[D^a, \widetilde{D}^b] = 0$ for all $D^a \subset \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_{-k} = \mathfrak{g}^k_{-1}$, this implies $[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_{-k}] = 0$. Moreover, defining $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-k} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^k_{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_- = \bigoplus_k \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-k}$, we see that $[\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-, \mathfrak{g}_-] = 0$, so $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_-$ form two commuting subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$. At least in all cases considered in this paper, these two subalgebras are isomorphic nilpotent algebras. Now define the prolong $\mathfrak{g} = \{X \in \mathfrak{vect}(n|m) : [\widetilde{D}^a, X] = f^a_b \widetilde{D}^b\}$, for each $\widetilde{D}^a \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$, where f^a_b are some polynomial functions, depending on X.

To see that the second definition implies the first, let $D \in \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$ and $X \in \mathfrak{g}_k$. $Y = [D, X]$ satisfies $[\widetilde{D}^a, Y] = [\widetilde{D}^a, [D, X]] = [D, [\widetilde{D}^a, X]] = [D, f^a_b]\widetilde{D}^b$, so $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$ and $[\mathfrak{g}_{-1}, \mathfrak{g}_k] \subset \mathfrak{g}_{k-1}$.

Vector fields that preserve the dual Pfaff equation $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} = 0$ must also preserve the higher order equations $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-2} = 0$ and, for $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-3} = 0$. This gives rise to further conditions obeyed by the vector fields, but these additional relations are identities which follow from $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} = 0$. A new feature in the exceptions is the appearance of certain symmetry conditions. These are genuinely new constraints which have no counterpart in the contact algebra.

The algebras under consideration in this paper have the following description as Cartan prolongs:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10) &= (\underline{\mathbf{5}}, \underline{\mathbf{5}}^*\wedge\underline{\mathbf{5}}^*, sl(5))_*, \\
\mathfrak{vle}(3|6) &= (\underline{\mathbf{3}}\boxtimes\underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{3}}^*\boxtimes\underline{\mathbf{2}}, sl(3)\oplus sl(2)\oplus gl(1))_*, \\
\mathfrak{mb}(3|8) &= (\underline{\mathbf{1}}\boxtimes\underline{\mathbf{2}}, \underline{\mathbf{3}}\boxtimes\underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{3}}^*\boxtimes\underline{\mathbf{2}}, sl(3)\oplus sl(2)\oplus gl(1))_*, \\
\mathfrak{kas}(1|6) &\subset \mathfrak{k}(1|6) = (\underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{6}}, so(6)\oplus gl(1))_*.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

Here $\boxtimes$ denotes the smash product, $\underline{\mathbf{n}}$ is the n-dimensional representation of $sl(n)$ or $so(n)$ and $\underline{\mathbf{n}}^*$ its dual. In addition, I apply the same technique to construct the contact algebras $\mathfrak{k}(1|m) = (\underline{\mathbf{1}}, \underline{\mathbf{m}}, so(m)\oplus gl(1))_*$. Although the results for the contact algebras are not new, they are of interest for several reasons:

1. $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ and $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ are conceptually similar to $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$, albeit more complicated, so this is a good place to develop the machinery necessary for the exceptions.

2. The exception $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$, so $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ satisfies the conditions described in section 2, together with some additional relations.

3. $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ is consistently graded. In fact, the only simple Lie superalgebras with consistent gradings are $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$, $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$, $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ and $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ [8]. The present paper thus describes every consistently graded simple Lie superalgebra, although no significant results are obtained for $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$.

4. For small values of m, the Laurent polynomial version of $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ has a central extension, known in physics as the $N = m$ superconformal algebra. The exceptional algebras do not admit central extensions, but being subalgebras of $\mathfrak{vect}(n|m)$ they clearly have non-central Virasoro-like extensions.

For $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ and $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, the degree zero subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = sl(3)\oplus sl(2)\oplus gl(1)$, i.e. the non-compact form of the symmetries of the standard model in particle physics. This suggests that these algebras may have important applications to physics [9, 10][1]. To my knowledge, this is the only place where the standard model algebra arises naturally and unambigously in a mathematically deep context. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is not just any subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$, but that $\mathfrak{g}$ is completely determined by $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$. Moreover, there is a 1-1 correspondence between $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ irreps. E.g., the $\mathfrak{vect}(n) = (\underline{\mathbf{n}}, gl(n))_*$ modules are tensor fields and closed forms, corresponding to $gl(n)$ tensors.

---

[1] Note that the definition of $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ in [10] is flawed.

Therefore, one may speculate that a $\mathfrak{g}$ symmetry may be mistaken experimentally for a $\mathfrak{g}_0$ symmetry.

Throughout this paper I use tensor calculus notation. A^i denotes a contravariant vector and B_j a covariant vector. Repeated indices, one up and one down, are implicitly summed over (Einstein convention). Derivatives are denoted by various types of d's (d, ∂ and $\eth$). ϵ^{ab}, ϵ^{ijk}, ϵ^{ijklm} and ϵ^{abcdef} denote the totally anti-symmetric constant symbols in $\mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathbb{C}^3$, $\mathbb{C}^5$ and $\mathbb{C}^6$, respectively. When dealing with the non-positive subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$, all parities are known and it is convenient to explicitly distinguish between anti-symmetric (straight) and symmetric (curly) brackets: $[A, B] = -[B, A]$ and $\{A, B\} = \{B, A\}$. For general vector fields $X = X^\mu(x)\partial_\mu$ (always assumed to be homogeneous in parity), the (straight) brackets are graded in the usual way: $[X, Y] = -(-)^{XY}[Y, X]$, where the symbol $(-)^X$ is $+1$ on bosonic components and -1 on fermionic ones. The sign convention is that X acts as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \partial_\nu &= -(-)^{\nu X} \partial_\nu X^\mu \partial_\mu, \\ \mathcal{L}_X dx^\mu &= (-)^{(X+\mu+\nu)\nu} \partial_\nu X^\mu dx^\nu. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

The exceptional algebras are denoted by their names designed by Shchepochkina [17]. Kac and collaborators instead use the names $E(5|10) = \mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, $E(3|6) = \mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$, $E(3|8) = \mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, $E(1|6) = \mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$, and $K(1|m) = \mathfrak{k}(1|m)$. There are two reasons to choose Shchepochkina's convention. She was the one who discovered the exceptions, and Kac' notation does not exhibit the family structure of regradings. E.g., $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ have three regradings (realizations on superspaces of different dimensions): $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)(4|5) : 2$, $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)(5|6) : 2$, $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8) : 3$, where the number after the colon indicates depth.

2 $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{1|m}$ with basis spanned by one even coordinate t and m odd coordinates θ_a, $a = 1, 2, ..., m$. Let $\deg \theta_a = 1$ and $\deg t = 2$. The graded Heisenberg algebra has the non-zero relations

$$\{d^a, \theta_b\} = \delta^a_b, \qquad [\partial_0, t] = 1, \tag{2.1}$$

where $d^a = \partial/\partial\theta_a$ and $\partial_0 = \partial/\partial t$. Introduce an constant metric $g_{ab} = g_{ba}$ with inverse g^{ab}. The metric and its inverse are used to raise and lower indices, so $\theta^a = g^{ab}\theta_b$ and $\theta_a = g_{ab}\theta^b$, etc.

The contact algebra $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ is generated by vector fields of the form

$$K_f = (2 - \theta_a d^a) f \partial_0 + (-)^f d_a f d^a + \partial_0 f \theta_a d^a, \tag{2.2}$$

where $f = f(\theta, t)$ is a function on $\mathbb{C}^{1|m}$. These vector fields satisfy the algebra $[K_f, K_g] = K_{[f,g]_K}$, where the contact bracket reads

$$[f, g]_K = (2 - \theta_a d^a) f \partial_0 g - \partial_0 f (2 - \theta_a d^a) g + (-)^f d_a f d^a g. \tag{2.3}$$

By expanding $f(\theta, t)$ in a power series in θ_a we obtain more explicit descriptions of the contact bracket. For small $m = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, the resulting (Laurent polynomial) algebras are well known in physics, under the names centerless Virasoro and $N = m$ superconformal algebras, respectively. Note that (2.3) is well defined also for $m \geq 5$.

The non-positive part of $\mathfrak{k}(1|m) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(1|m)$ is spanned by the vector fields

deg	f	vector field
-2	$\frac{1}{2}$	$E = \partial_0$
-1	$-\theta_a$	$D^a = d^a - \theta^a \partial_0$
0	$-\theta^a \theta^b$	$J^{ab} = \theta^a d^b - \theta^b d^a$
0	t	$Z = 2t\partial_0 + \theta_a d^a$

(2.4)

The non-zero bracket in $\mathfrak{g}_-$ reads

$$\{D^a, D^b\} = -2g^{ab} E. \tag{2.5}$$

One notes that (2.5) defines a Clifford algebra, with D^a playing the role of gamma matrices and E that of the unit operator. The analogous nilpotent algebras for the exceptions, (4.4), (5.9) and (6.4), constitute interesting generalizations of Clifford algebras.

A basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{D}^a \quad = \quad d^a + \theta^a \partial_0 = D^a + 2\theta^a \partial_0. \tag{2.6}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widetilde{D}^a, \widetilde{D}^b\} &= 2g^{ab} E, \\ \{D^a, \widetilde{D}^b\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Any vector field in $C^{1|m}$ has the form

$$X = Q\partial_0 + P_a d^a = \widetilde{Q}\partial_0 + P_a \widetilde{D}^a, \tag{2.8}$$

where

$$\widetilde{Q} \quad = \quad Q + (-)^X \theta^a P_a. \tag{2.9}$$

X preserves the dual Pfaff equation $\widetilde{D}^a = 0$, i.e.

$$[X, \widetilde{D}^a] = -(-)^X \widetilde{D}^a P_b \widetilde{D}^b, \tag{2.10}$$

provided that

$$\widetilde{D}^a \widetilde{Q} = 2(-)^X P^a. \tag{2.11}$$

Compatibility between

$$[E, X] = \partial_0 \widetilde{Q} E + \partial_0 P_a \widetilde{D}^a \tag{2.12}$$

and (2.7) in the form $E = \frac{1}{2} g_{ab} \{\widetilde{D}^a, \widetilde{D}^b\}$, implies that

$$\partial_0 \widetilde{Q} - \frac{2}{m}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^a P_a = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

However, this is an identity which follows from (2.11) by considering $\widetilde{D}_a \widetilde{D}^a \widetilde{Q}$, so no new independent conditions on the vector fields arise.

The pairing $\langle \widetilde{D}^a, \alpha \rangle = 0$ gives

$$\alpha = dt + \theta^a d\theta_a, \tag{2.14}$$

or more explicitly $\alpha = dt + g^{ab} \theta_a d\theta_b$. We now show that α satisfies a Pfaff equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \theta_a &= P_a, \\ \mathcal{L}_X t &= Q, \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\theta_a &= (-)^X d^b P_a d\theta_b + \partial_0 P_a dt, \\ \mathcal{L}_X dt &= -(-)^X d^b Q d\theta_b + \partial_0 Q dt. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{aligned} & Et = 1, && E\theta_a = Edt = Ed\theta_a = 0, \\ & D^a t = -\theta^a, && D^a dt = -d\theta^a, \\ & D^a \theta_b = \delta^a_b, && D^a d\theta_b = 0, \\ & J^{ab} t = 0, && J^{ab} dt = 0, \\ & J^{ab} \theta_c = \delta^b_c \theta^a - \delta^a_c \theta^b, && J^{ab} d\theta_c = \delta^b_c d\theta^a - \delta^a_c d\theta^b, \\ & Zt = 2t, && Zdt = 2dt, \\ & Z\theta_a = \theta_a, && Zd\theta_a = d\theta_a. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

One checks that

$$J^{ab} \alpha = D^a \alpha = E\alpha = 0. \tag{2.17}$$

Thus the Pfaff equation $\alpha = 0$ is preserved by $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and therefore by all of $\mathfrak{k}(1|m) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(1|m)$.

An explicit calculation yields

$$\mathcal{L}_X\alpha = \partial_0\widetilde{Q}dt + (-(-)^X d^b\widetilde{Q} + 2P^b)d\theta_b. \tag{2.18}$$

Since the Pfaff equation $\alpha = 0$ is preserved, we have $\mathcal{L}_X\alpha = f\alpha = fdt + f\theta^a d\theta_a$ for $f = \partial_0\widetilde{Q}$. Substitution into the formula above shows that X must satisfy (2.11).

More generally, consider $\mathbb{C}^{2n+1|m}$ with basis spanned by $2n$ even coordinates u^i, $i = 1, 2, ..., 2n$, m odd coordinates θ_a, $a = 1, 2, ..., m$, and one additional even coordinate t. Let $\omega_{ij} = -\omega_{ji}$ be anti-symmetric structure constants and let $g_{ab} = g_{ba}$, as before. $\mathfrak{k}(2n+1|m)$ is the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{vect}(2n+1|m)$ which preserves the Pfaff equation $\alpha = 0$, where

$$\alpha = dt + \omega_{ij}u^i du^j + g^{ab}\theta_a d\theta_b. \tag{2.19}$$

Hence $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ has two natural classes of tensor modules, with bases α and γ^a and module action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X\alpha &= \partial_0\widetilde{Q}\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L}_X\gamma^a &= -(-)^X\widetilde{D}^a P_b\gamma^b. \end{aligned} \tag{2.20}$$

Assuming that α and γ^a are fermions, we can now construct the volume form $v_\gamma = \epsilon_{a_1a_2...a_m}\gamma^{a_1}\gamma^{a_2}...\gamma^{a_m}$, transforming as

$$\mathcal{L}_X v_\gamma = -(-)^X\widetilde{D}^a P_a v_\gamma. \tag{2.21}$$

Since α has degree $+2$ and v_γ has degree $-m$, the form $v_\gamma^2\alpha^2$ is invariant, which implies the relation (2.13). For $m = 2$, the invariant form is the volume form and (2.13) becomes $\operatorname{div} X = 0$.

From the vector density γ^a with weight -1 we can construct a vector $\bar\gamma^a$ of zero weight, transforming as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X\bar\gamma^a &= -(-)^X(\widetilde{D}^a P_b - \frac{1}{m}\delta^a_b\widetilde{D}^c P_c)\bar\gamma^b \\ &= \tfrac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_b\widetilde{D}_c\widetilde{Q}(g^{ac}\bar\gamma^b - g^{ab}\bar\gamma^c). \end{aligned} \tag{2.22}$$

Hence we obtain the explicit realization

$$\mathcal{L}_X = X + \tfrac{1}{4}\widetilde{D}_a\widetilde{D}_b\widetilde{Q}\bar{J}^{ab} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_0\widetilde{Q}\bar{Z}, \tag{2.23}$$

where X acts trivially on $\bar\gamma^a$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{J}^{ab}\bar\gamma^c &= g^{ac}\bar\gamma^b - g^{bc}\bar\gamma^a, \\ \bar{Z}\bar\gamma^c &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.24}$$

Thus $\bar{J}^{ab}$ and $\bar{Z}$ generate the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = so(m)\oplus gl(1)$. However, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_X$ will satisfy the same algebra for every representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. Substitution of irreducible $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ modules into (2.23) gives the tensor modules for $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$. In particular, the expressions for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ becomes $\mathcal{L}_{J^{ab}} = J^{ab} + \bar{J}^{ab}$, $\mathcal{L}_Z = Z + \bar{Z}$, i.e. two commuting copies of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

To obtain an explicit expression for the vector fields in $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$, we set $\widetilde{Q} = f(\theta, u)$, an arbitrary polynomial function. From (2.9) and (2.11) we obtain $P^a = \frac{1}{2}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^a f$, and

$$X = \tfrac{1}{2}K_f = f\partial_0 + \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^f \widetilde{D}^a f \widetilde{D}_a. \tag{2.25}$$

We have $[X_f, X_g] = X_{[f,g]_\mathfrak{k}}$, where

$$[f,g]_\mathfrak{k} = f\partial_0 g - (-)^{fg} g\partial_0 f + \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^f \widetilde{D}^a f \widetilde{D}_a g. \tag{2.26}$$

One checks that this contact bracket is related to (2.3) by $[f,g]_\mathfrak{k} = \frac{1}{2}[f,g]_K$.

3 $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$

$\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$ contains the exceptional simple subalgebra $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$. Clearly every vector field in $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ preserves the Pfaff equation (2.14), but in addition there is a condition coming from degree +1. The description here closely follows [5].

Let $j^{ab} = -\theta^a\theta^b$, so $K_{j^{ab}} = J^{ab}$ generate $so(m)$. We have $[j^{ab}, \theta^c]_K = g^{bc}\theta^a - g^{ac}\theta^b$ and

$$[j^{ab}, \theta^c\theta^d\theta^e]_K = g^{bc}\theta^a\theta^d\theta^e - g^{ac}\theta^b\theta^d\theta^e + 4 \text{ cyclic terms}. \tag{3.1}$$

Therefore, $\omega_+^{abc} = \theta^a\theta^b\theta^c + \epsilon^{abcdef}\theta_d\theta_e\theta_f$ and $\omega_-^{abc} = \theta^a\theta^b\theta^c - \epsilon^{abcdef}\theta_d\theta_e\theta_f$ transform independently under $so(6)$; recall that the metric g_{ab} is used to lower indices. Denote the corresponding $so(6)$ modules V_+ and V_-, respectively, and let M be the $so(6)$ module corresponding to $f = t\theta^a$. $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ is obtained by requiring that $\mathfrak{g}_1 = M\oplus V_+$ (or equivalently $\mathfrak{g}_1 = M\oplus V_-$). In contrast, $\mathfrak{g}_1 = M\oplus V_+\oplus V_-$ for the contact algebra $\mathfrak{k}(1|6)$.

The contact vector fields in $\mathfrak{k}(1|m)$ at degree +1 are

deg	f	K_f
1	$t\theta_a$	$A_a = t\theta_a\partial_0 - td_a + \theta_a\theta_b d^b$
1	$-\theta_a\theta_b\theta_c$	$B_{abc} = \theta_a\theta_b\theta_c\partial_0 + \theta_a\theta_b d_c + \theta_b\theta_c d_a + \theta_c\theta_a d_b$

(3.2)

For $m = 6$, we can define the dual of B_{abc} as

$$B^*_{abc} = \epsilon_{abcdef}B^{def}. \tag{3.3}$$

$\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ is obtained by requiring that B_{abc} be self-dual, i.e. $B_{abc} = B^*_{abc}$.

A similar construction can be carried out for $m = 4$; the corresponding subalgebra of $\mathfrak{k}(1|4)$ is finite-dimensional [5]. The $\mathfrak{k}(1|2n)$ subspace $\mathfrak{g}_n$ contains two subspaces that are isomorphic as $so(2n)$ modules, but it is not possible to eliminate one of them when $2n > 6$.

I have not been able to formulate $\mathfrak{kas}(1|6)$ as an algebra of vector fields preserving some Pfaff equation or dual Pfaff equation.

4 $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$

Let $u = (u^i) \in \mathbb{C}^5$, $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$, $i = 1,2,3,4,5$. Let $\xi = \xi^i(u)\partial_i$ be a divergence-free vector field: $\partial_i \xi^i = 0$, and let $\omega = \omega_{ij}(u) du^i \wedge du^j$ be a closed two-form: $\omega_{ji} = -\omega_{ij}$ and $\partial_i\omega_{jk} + \partial_j\omega_{ki} + \partial_k\omega_{ij} = 0$. $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$ has generators $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \mathcal{L}_i(\xi^i)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\omega = \mathcal{G}^{ij}(\omega_{ij})$. The brackets read [5]

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &= \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]} = \mathcal{L}_k(\xi^i\partial_i\eta^k - \eta^j\partial_j\xi^k), \\ [\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{G}_\omega] &= \mathcal{G}^{jk}(\xi^i\partial_i\omega_{jk} + \partial_j\xi^i\omega_{ik} + \partial_k\xi^i\omega_{ji}), \\ \{\mathcal{G}_\omega, \mathcal{G}_\upsilon\} &= \epsilon^{ijklm}\mathcal{L}_m(\omega_{ij}\upsilon_{kl}). \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{5|10}$ with basis spanned by five even coordinates u^i, $i = 1,2,3,4,5$ and ten odd coordinates $\theta_{ij} = -\theta_{ji}$. Let $\deg\theta_{ij} = 1$ and $\deg u^i = 2$. The graded Heisenberg algebra has the non-zero relations

$$[\partial_j, u^i] = \delta^i_j, \qquad \{d^{ij}, \theta_{kl}\} = \delta^i_k\delta^j_l - \delta^j_k\delta^i_l, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$ and $d^{ij} = -d^{ji} = \partial/\partial\theta_{ij}$. The non-positive part of $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(5|10)$ is spanned by the vector fields

deg	vector field
-2	$E_k = \partial_k$
-1	$D^{kl} = d^{kl} - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{klmni}\theta_{mn}\partial_i$
0	$I^k_l = u^k\partial_l - \theta_{lj}d^{kj} - \frac{1}{5}\delta^k_l(u^i\partial_i - \theta_{ij}d^{ij})$

(4.3)

Note that $Z = 2u^i\partial_i + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{ij}d^{ij}$, which is the operator that computes the Weisfeiler grading, is not part of $\mathfrak{g}_0$. However, for convenience we will also consider the non-simple algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{ksle}}(5|10) = (\underline{\mathbf{5}}, \underline{\mathbf{5}}^*\wedge\underline{\mathbf{5}}^*, gl(5))_*$, obtained by adjoining Z to (4.3). It was shown in [5] that $\overline{\mathfrak{ksle}}(5|10) = \mathfrak{ksle}(5|10) \oplus \mathbb{C}Z$.

The non-zero brackets in $\mathfrak{g}_-$ read

$$\{D^{ij}, D^{kl}\} = -2\epsilon^{ijklm}E_m. \tag{4.4}$$

A basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{D}^{ij} \;=\; d^{ij} + \tfrac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijklm}\theta_{kl}\partial_m = D^{ij} + \epsilon^{ijklm}\theta_{kl}\partial_m, \tag{4.5}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widetilde{D}^{ij}, \widetilde{D}^{kl}\} &= 2\epsilon^{ijklm} E_m, \\ \{D^{ij}, \widetilde{D}^{kl}\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

Any vector field in $\mathfrak{vect}(5|10)$ has the form

$$X = Q^i \partial_i + \tfrac{1}{2} P_{ij} d^{ij} = \widetilde{Q}^i \partial_i + \tfrac{1}{2} P_{ij} \widetilde{D}^{ij}, \tag{4.7}$$

where the $\frac{1}{2}$ is necessary to avoid double counting and

$$\widetilde{Q}^i = Q^i + \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^X \epsilon^{ijklm} \theta_{jk} P_{lm}. \tag{4.8}$$

X preserves the dual Pfaff equation $\widetilde{D}^{ij} = 0$, i.e.

$$[X, \widetilde{D}^{ij}] = -\tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ij} P_{kl} \widetilde{D}^{kl}, \tag{4.9}$$

provided that

$$\widetilde{D}^{ij} \widetilde{Q}^k = (-)^X \epsilon^{ijklm} P_{lm}. \tag{4.10}$$

In particular, we have the symmetry relations

$$\widetilde{D}^{ij} \widetilde{Q}^k = \widetilde{D}^{jk} \widetilde{Q}^i = -\widetilde{D}^{ik} \widetilde{Q}^j. \tag{4.11}$$

Compatibility between

$$[E_i, X] = \partial_i \widetilde{Q}^j E_j + \tfrac{1}{2} \partial_i P_{jk} \widetilde{D}^{jk} \tag{4.12}$$

and (4.6) in the form $E_i = \frac{1}{48} \epsilon_{ijklm} \{\widetilde{D}^{jk}, \widetilde{D}^{lm}\}$, implies that

$$\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^j = \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X (\widetilde{D}^{kl} P_{kl} \delta_i^j - 2\widetilde{D}^{jk} P_{ik}). \tag{4.13}$$

In particular,

$$\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i - \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ij} P_{ij} \equiv \operatorname{div} X = 0. \tag{4.14}$$

However, (4.13) is an identity which follows from (4.10) by considering $\epsilon_{ijkln} \widetilde{D}^{ij} \widetilde{D}^{kl} \widetilde{Q}^m$, so no new independent conditions on the vector fields arise.

The pairing $\langle \widetilde{D}^{ij}, \alpha^k \rangle = 0$ gives

$$\alpha^i = du^i + \tfrac{1}{4} \epsilon^{ijklm} \theta_{jk} d\theta_{lm}. \tag{4.15}$$

We now show that α^i satisfies a Pfaff equation:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \theta_{ij} &= P_{ij}, \\ \mathcal{L}_X u^i &= Q^i, \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\theta_{ij} &= \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X d^{kl} P_{ij} d\theta_{kl} + \partial_k P_{ij} du^k, \\ \mathcal{L}_X du^i &= -\tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X d^{kl} Q^i d\theta_{kl} + \partial_k Q^i du^k. \end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{array}{ll}
E_k u^i = \delta^i_k, & E_k du^i = E_k\theta_{ij} = E_k d\theta_{ij} = 0, \\
D^{kl}u^i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{klmni}\theta_{mn}, & D^{kl}du^i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{klmni}d\theta_{mn}, \\
D^{kl}\theta_{ij} = \delta^k_i\delta^l_j - \delta^l_i\delta^k_j, & D^{kl}d\theta_{ij} = 0, \\
I^k_l u^i = \delta^i_l u^k - \frac{1}{5}\delta^k_l u^i, & I^k_l du^i = \delta^i_l du^k - \frac{1}{5}\delta^k_l du^i, \\
I^k_l\theta_{ij} = -\delta^k_i\theta_{lj} - \delta^k_j\theta_{il} + \frac{2}{5}\delta^k_l\theta_{ij}, & I^k_l d\theta_{ij} = -\delta^k_i d\theta_{lj} - \delta^k_j d\theta_{il} + \frac{2}{5}\delta^k_l d\theta_{ij}, \\
Zu^i = 2u^i, & Z\theta_{ij} = \theta_{ij}, \\
Zdu^i = 2du^i, & Zd\theta_{ij} = d\theta_{ij}.
\end{array} \tag{4.17}$$

One checks that

$$\begin{aligned}
I^k_l\alpha^i &= \delta^i_l\alpha^k - \frac{1}{5}\delta^k_l\alpha^i, \\
D^{kl}\alpha^i &= E_k\alpha^i = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

An explicit calculation yields

$$\mathcal{L}_X\alpha^i = \partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i du^j + (-\frac{1}{2}(-)^X d^{np}\widetilde{Q}^i + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{ijknp}P_{jk})d\theta_{np}. \tag{4.19}$$

Since the Pfaff equation $\alpha^i = 0$ is preserved, we have $\mathcal{L}_X\alpha^i = f^i_j\alpha^j = f^i_j(du^j + \frac{1}{4}\epsilon^{jklmn}\theta_{kl}d\theta_{mn})$ for $f^i_j = \partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i$. Substitution into the formula above yields the condition (4.10).

Hence $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$ has two natural classes of tensor modules, with bases α^i and γ^{ij} and module action

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X\alpha^i &= \partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i\alpha^j, \\
\mathcal{L}_X\gamma^{ij} &= -\frac{1}{2}(-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ij}P_{kl}\gamma^{kl}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

Assuming that α^i and γ^{ij} are fermions, we can now construct the volume forms $v_\alpha = \alpha^1\alpha^2\alpha^3\alpha^4\alpha^5$ and $v_\gamma = \gamma^{12}\gamma^{13}\gamma^{14}\gamma^{15}\gamma^{23}\gamma^{24}\gamma^{25}\gamma^{34}\gamma^{35}\gamma^{45}$, transforming as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X v_\alpha &= \partial_i\widetilde{Q}^i v_\alpha, \\
\mathcal{L}_X v_\gamma &= -\frac{1}{2}(-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ij}P_{ij}v_\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Since v_α has degree $+10$ and v_γ has degree -10, the form $v_\alpha v_\gamma$ is invariant, which implies the relations (4.14).

From (4.20) we deduce the transformation laws for a scalar density v of weight $+1$, an $sl(5)$ vector $\bar{\alpha}^i$ and an $sl(5)$ bivector $\bar{\gamma}^{ij}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X v &= \frac{1}{10}\partial_i\widetilde{Q}^i v = \frac{1}{20}(-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ij}P_{ij}v, \\
\mathcal{L}_X\bar{\alpha}^i &= \frac{1}{15}(\delta^i_j\widetilde{D}^{kl}P_{kl} - 5\widetilde{D}^{ik}P_{jk})\bar{\alpha}^j \\
&= (\partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i - \frac{1}{5}\delta^i_j\partial_k\widetilde{Q}^k)\bar{\alpha}^j, \\
\mathcal{L}_X\bar{\gamma}^{ij} &= \frac{1}{20}(\delta^i_k\delta^j_l\widetilde{D}^{mn}P_{mn} - 10\widetilde{D}^{ij}P_{kl})\bar{\gamma}^{kl}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Hence we obtain the explicit realization

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X &= X - \tfrac{1}{3}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ik}P_{jk}\bar{I}^j_i + \tfrac{1}{20}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ij}P_{ij}\bar{Z} \\ &= X + \partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i\bar{I}^j_i + \tfrac{1}{10}\partial_i\widetilde{Q}^i\bar{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

where $\bar{I}^i_j$ and $\bar{Z}$ generate the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = gl(5)$ and X commutes with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. This expression holds for the non-simple algebra $\overline{\mathfrak{ksle}}(5|10)$; for $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$ $\bar{Z} = 0$. By introducing canonical conjugate oscillators $\bar{\alpha}^*_i$ and v^*, subject to the Heisenberg algebra $[\bar{\alpha}^*_i, \bar{\alpha}^j] = \delta^j_i$, $[v^*, v] = 1$, we obtain the explicit expression for the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ generators: $\bar{I}^i_j = \bar{\alpha}^i\bar{\alpha}^*_j - \frac{1}{5}\delta^i_j\bar{\alpha}^k\bar{\alpha}^*_k$ and $\bar{Z} = vv^*$. However, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_X$ will satisfy the same algebra for every representation of $gl(5)$. Substitution of irreducible $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ modules into (4.23) gives the tensor modules for $\overline{\mathfrak{ksle}}(5|10)$. In particular, the expressions for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ become $\mathcal{L}_{I^i_j} = I^i_j + \bar{I}^i_j$, $\mathcal{L}_Z = Z + \bar{Z}$, i.e. two commuting copies of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

To obtain an explicit expression for the vector fields in $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$, we set $\widetilde{Q}^i = f^i(\theta, u)$, five arbitrary polynomial functions. From (4.8) and (4.10) we obtain $P_{ij} = \frac{1}{12}(-)^X\epsilon_{ijklm}\widetilde{D}^{kl}f^m$ and

$$X = U_f = f^i\partial_i + \tfrac{1}{24}(-)^f\epsilon_{ijklm}\widetilde{D}^{ij}f^k\widetilde{D}^{lm}, \tag{4.24}$$

where $f = f^i(\theta, u)\partial_i$ is a vector field acting on $\mathbb{C}^{5|10}$ and $(-)^f = +1$ if f is an even vector field, i.e. f^i is an even function. Due to the symmetry condition (4.11), the components f^i are not independent, but subject to the relation

$$\widetilde{D}^{ij}f^k = \widetilde{D}^{jk}f^i = -\widetilde{D}^{ik}f^j, \tag{4.25}$$

This condition may alternatively be written as $2\widetilde{D}^{ij}f^k = \widetilde{D}^{jk}f^i + \widetilde{D}^{ki}f^j$.

There is one additional relation, which singles out $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10) \subset \overline{\mathfrak{ksle}}(5|10)$:

$$\partial_i\widetilde{Q}^i = 0 \Rightarrow \partial_i f^i = 0. \tag{4.26}$$

This extra condition is clearly satisfied by all operators in (4.3), except for Z.

A $\mathfrak{ksle}(5|10)$ bracket is defined by $[U_f, U_g] = U_{[f,g]_{\mathfrak{ksle}}}$, where

$$\begin{aligned} [f,g]_{\mathfrak{ksle}} &= f^i\partial_i g^j\partial_j - \partial_j f^i g^j\partial_i + (-)^f H^p_{ijk|lmn}\widetilde{D}^{ij}f^k\widetilde{D}^{lm}g^n\partial_p \\ &\quad -(-)^{g+fg}H^p_{lmn|ijk}\widetilde{D}^{lm}g^n\widetilde{D}^{ij}f^k\partial_p, \\ H^p_{ijk|lmn} &= \tfrac{1}{24}\epsilon_{ijklm}\delta^p_n - \tfrac{1}{288}\epsilon_{ijkqr}\epsilon_{lmnst}\epsilon^{qrstp}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

Finally we list the vector fields f and U_f for $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$:

deg	f	U_f
-2	∂_i	E_i
-1	$-\epsilon^{ijklm}\theta_{kl}\partial_m$	D^{ij}
0	$u^i\partial_j - \frac{1}{5}\delta^i_j u^k\partial_k + \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{iklmn}\theta_{jk}\theta_{lm}\partial_n$	I^i_j
0	$2u^i\partial_i$	Z

(4.28)

Other vector fields f of non-positive degree are not compatible with the symmetry condition (4.25). Clearly, the vector field corresponding to $U_f = Z$ does not satisfy (4.26).

5 $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$

Let $u = (u^i) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$. Let $\xi = \xi^i(u)\partial/\partial u^i$ be a vector field, let $X = X^a_b(u)T^b_a$ be an $sl(2)$-valued current, and let $\omega = \omega_{ia}(u)du^i dz^a$ be a $\mathbb{C}^2$-valued one-form. Here $T^a_b \in sl(2)$: $[T^a_b, T^c_d] = \delta^c_b T^a_d - \delta^a_d T^c_b$, and $X^a_a = 0$. $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ has generators $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \mathcal{L}_i(\xi^i)$, $\mathcal{J}_X = J^b_a(X^a_b)$ and $\mathcal{G}_\omega = \mathcal{G}^{ia}(\omega_{ia})$, and the brackets read [5]

$$\begin{aligned}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &= \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]} = \mathcal{L}_k(\xi^i\partial_i\eta^k - \eta^j\partial_j\xi^k), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{J}_X] &= \mathcal{J}_{\xi X} = \mathcal{J}^b_a(\xi^i\partial_i X^a_b), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{J}_Y] &= \mathcal{J}_{[X,Y]} = \mathcal{J}^b_a(Y^a_c X^c_b - X^a_c Y^c_b), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{G}_\omega] &= \mathcal{G}^{ja}(\xi^i\partial_i\omega_{ja} + \partial_j\xi^i\omega_{ia} + \tfrac{1}{2}\partial_i\xi^i\omega_{ja}), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{G}_\omega] &= \mathcal{G}^{ia}(X^b_a\omega_{ib}), \\
\{\mathcal{G}_\omega, \mathcal{G}_\upsilon\} &= \epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ab}\mathcal{L}_k(\omega_{ia}\upsilon_{jb}) + \epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ac}\mathcal{J}^b_a(\partial_k\omega_{ic}\upsilon_{jb} - \omega_{ib}\partial_k\upsilon_{jc}).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

In this and the next section we use some special relations valid in two dimensions only:

$$\phi^a = \epsilon^{ab}\phi_b, \qquad \phi_a = \epsilon_{ab}\phi^b, \tag{5.2}$$

$$\epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_{bc} = \delta^a_c, \qquad \epsilon^{ab}\epsilon_{cb} = -\delta^a_c, \tag{5.3}$$

$$\phi^a\psi_a = -\phi_a\psi^a, \tag{5.4}$$

$$\epsilon^{ab}\phi^c + \epsilon^{bc}\phi^a + \epsilon^{ca}\phi^b = 0, \tag{5.5}$$

$$\phi^a\psi^b - \phi^b\psi^a = -\epsilon^{ab}\phi^c\psi_c. \tag{5.6}$$

Our convention is $\epsilon^{12} = \epsilon_{21} = +1$, $\epsilon^{21} = \epsilon_{12} = -1$. The constants ϵ^{ab} and ϵ_{ab} can be used to raise and lower $sl(2)$ indices.

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{3|6}$ with basis spanned by three even coordinates u^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ and six odd coordinates θ_{ia}. Let $\deg\theta_{ia} = 1$ and $\deg u^i = 2$. The graded Heisenberg algebra has the non-zero relations

$$[\partial_j, u^i] = \delta^i_j, \qquad \{d^{ia}, \theta_{jb}\} = \delta^i_j\delta^a_b, \tag{5.7}$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$ and $d^{ia} = \partial/\partial\theta_{ia}$. The non-positive part of $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(3|6)$ is spanned by the vector fields

deg	vector field
-2	$E_i = \partial_i$
-1	$D^{ia} = d^{ia} - \epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\partial_k$
0	$I^k_l = u^k\partial_l - \theta_{la}d^{ka} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l(u^i\partial_i - \theta_{ia}d^{ia})$
0	$J^c_d = -\theta_{id}d^{ic} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d\theta_{ia}d^{ia}$
0	$Z = 2u^i\partial_i + \theta_{ia}d^{ia}$

(5.8)

The non-zero brackets in $\mathfrak{g}_-$ are

$$\{D^{ia}, D^{jb}\} = -2\epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ab}E_k. \tag{5.9}$$

A basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$ is given by

$$\widetilde{D}^{ia} \;=\; d^{ia} + \epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\partial_k = D^{ia} + 2\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\partial_k, \tag{5.10}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \widetilde{D}^{jb}\} &= 2\epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ab}E_k, \\ \{D^{ia}, \widetilde{D}^{jb}\} &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5.11}$$

Any vector field in $\mathfrak{vect}(3|6)$ has the form

$$X = Q^i\partial_i + P_{ia}d^{ia} = \widetilde{Q}^i\partial_i + P_{ia}\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \tag{5.12}$$

where

$$\widetilde{Q}^i \;=\; Q^i - (-)^X\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_jP_{ka}. \tag{5.13}$$

X preserves the dual Pfaff equation $\widetilde{D}^{ia} = 0$, i.e.

$$[X, \widetilde{D}^{ia}] = -(-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ia}P_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb}, \tag{5.14}$$

provided that

$$\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{Q}^j = -2(-)^X\epsilon^{ijk}P^a_k. \tag{5.15}$$

In particular, we have the symmetry relations

$$\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{Q}^j = -\widetilde{D}^{ja}\widetilde{Q}^i. \tag{5.16}$$

Compatibility between

$$[E_i, X] = \partial_i\widetilde{Q}^jE_j + \partial_iP_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb} \tag{5.17}$$

and (5.11) in the form $E_i = \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ab}\{\widetilde{D}^{ja}, \widetilde{D}^{kb}\}$, implies that

$$\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^j = \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X(\widetilde{D}^{ka}P_{ka}\delta^j_i - \widetilde{D}^{ja}P_{ia}). \tag{5.18}$$

In particular,

$$\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i - (-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia}P_{ia} \equiv \operatorname{div} X = 0. \tag{5.19}$$

However, (5.18) is an identity which follows from (5.15) by considering $\epsilon_{ijl}\epsilon_{ab}\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{D}^{jb}\widetilde{Q}^k$, so no new independent conditions on the vector fields arise.

The pairing $\langle \widetilde{D}^{ia}, \alpha^j \rangle = 0$ gives

$$\alpha^i = du^i - \epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j d\theta_{ka}. \tag{5.20}$$

We now show that α^i satisfies a Pfaff equation:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathcal{L}_X\theta_{ia} &=& P_{ia}, \\ \mathcal{L}_X u^i &=& Q^i, \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\theta_{ia} &=& (-)^X d^{jb}P_{ia}d\theta_{jb} + \partial_j P_{ia}du^j, \\ \mathcal{L}_X du^i &=& -(-)^X d^{jb}Q^i d\theta_{jb} + \partial_j Q^i du^j. \end{array} \tag{5.21}$$

In particular,

$$\begin{array}{ll} E_k u^i = \delta^i_k, & E_k du^i = E_k\theta_{ia} = E_k d\theta_{ia} = 0, \\ D^{kc}u^i = -\epsilon^{kli}\theta^c_l, & D^{kc}du^i = -\epsilon^{kli}d\theta^c_l, \\ D^{kc}\theta_{ia} = \delta^k_i\delta^c_a, & D^{kl}d\theta_{ia} = 0, \\ I^k_l u^i = \delta^i_l u^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l u^i, & I^k_l du^i = \delta^i_l du^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l du^i, \\ I^k_l\theta_{ia} = -\delta^k_i\theta_{la} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l\theta_{ia}, & I^k_l d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^k_i d\theta_{la} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l d\theta_{ia}, \\ J^c_d u^i = 0, & J^c_d du^i = 0, \\ J^c_d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^c_a\theta_{id} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d\theta_{ia}, & J^c_d d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^c_a d\theta_{id} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d d\theta_{ia}, \\ Zu^i = 2u^i, & Zdu^i = 2du^i, \\ Z\theta_{ia} = \theta_{ia}, & Zd\theta_{ia} = d\theta_{ia}. \end{array} \tag{5.22}$$

One checks that

$$\begin{array}{l} I^k_l\alpha^i = \delta^i_l\alpha^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l\alpha^i, \\ Z\alpha^i = 2\alpha^i, \\ J^a_b\alpha^i = D^{kl}\alpha^i = E_k\alpha_i = 0. \end{array} \tag{5.23}$$

An explicit calculation yields

$$\mathcal{L}_X\alpha^i = \partial_j\widetilde{Q}^i du^j + (-(-)^X d^{lb}\widetilde{Q}^i - 2\epsilon^{lij}P^b_j)d\theta_{lb}. \tag{5.24}$$

Since the Pfaff equation $\alpha^i = 0$ is preserved, we have $\mathcal{L}_X \alpha^i = f^i_j \alpha^j = f^i_j(du^j - \epsilon^{jkl}\theta^a_k d\theta_{la})$ for $f^i_j = \partial_j \widetilde{Q}^i$. Substitution into the formula above yields the conditions (5.15).

Hence $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ has two natural classes of tensor modules, with bases α^i and γ^{ia} and module action

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X \alpha^i &= \partial_j \widetilde{Q}^i \alpha^j, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \gamma^{ia} &= -(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb} \gamma^{jb}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.25}$$

Assuming that α^i and γ^{ia} are fermions, we can now construct the volume forms $v_\alpha = \epsilon_{ijk}\alpha^i\alpha^j\alpha^k$ and $v_\gamma = \epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{lmn}\epsilon_{ad}\epsilon_{be}\epsilon_{cf}\gamma^{ia}\gamma^{jb}\gamma^{kc}\gamma^{ld}\gamma^{me}\gamma^{nf}$, transforming as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X v_\alpha &= \partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i v_\alpha, \\ \mathcal{L}_X v_\gamma &= -(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ia} v_\gamma. \end{aligned} \tag{5.26}$$

Since v_α has degree $+6$ and v_γ has degree -6, the form $v_\alpha v_\gamma$ is invariant, which implies the relation (5.19).

From (5.26) we deduce the transformation laws for a scalar density v of weight $+1$, an $sl(3)$ vector $\bar{\alpha}^i$ and an $sl(3) \oplus sl(2)$ vector $\bar{\gamma}^{ia}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X v &= \tfrac{1}{6}\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i v = \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ia} v, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \bar{\alpha}^i &= \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X (\delta^i_j \widetilde{D}^{ka} P_{ka} - 3\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ja})\bar{\alpha}^j \\ &= (\partial_j \widetilde{Q}^i - \tfrac{1}{3}\delta^i_j \partial_k \widetilde{Q}^k)\bar{\alpha}^j, \\ \mathcal{L}_X \bar{\gamma}^{ia} &= \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X (\delta^i_j \delta^a_b \widetilde{D}^{kc} P_{kc} - 6\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb})\bar{\gamma}^{jb}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.27}$$

I have not obtained any explicit expression for an $sl(2)$ vector $\bar{\beta}^a$, but in view of (5.27) it is natural to assume that it transforms as

$$\mathcal{L}_X \bar{\beta}^a = \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X (\delta^a_b \widetilde{D}^{ic} P_{ic} - 2\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ib})\bar{\beta}^b. \tag{5.28}$$

Hence we obtain the explicit realization

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X &= X + \tfrac{1}{6}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb}(-3\delta^b_a \bar{I}^j_i - 2\delta^j_i \bar{J}^b_a + \delta^j_i \delta^b_a \bar{Z}) \\ &= X + \partial_j \widetilde{Q}^i \bar{I}^j_i - \tfrac{1}{3}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ib} \bar{J}^b_a + \tfrac{1}{6}\partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i \bar{Z}, \end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

where $\bar{I}^i_j$, $\bar{J}^a_b$ and $\bar{Z}$ generate the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = sl(3) \oplus sl(2) \oplus gl(1)$ and X commutes with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. Note that the term multiplying $\bar{J}^b_a$ is somewhat uncertain, since (5.28) is a conjecture. By introducing canonical conjugate oscillators $\bar{\alpha}^*_i$, $\bar{\beta}^*_a$ and v^*, subject to the Heisenberg algebra $[\bar{\alpha}^*_i, \bar{\alpha}^j] = \delta^j_i$, $[\bar{\beta}^*_a, \bar{\beta}^b] = \delta^b_a$, $[v^*, v] = 1$, we obtain the explicit expression for the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ generators: $\bar{I}^i_j = \bar{\alpha}^i \bar{\alpha}^*_j - \frac{1}{3}\delta^i_j \bar{\alpha}^k \bar{\alpha}^*_k$, $\bar{J}^a_b = \bar{\beta}^a \bar{\beta}^*_b - \frac{1}{2}\delta^a_b \bar{\beta}^c \bar{\beta}^*_c$ and $\bar{Z} = vv^*$. However, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_X$ will satisfy the same algebra for every representation of

$sl(3) \oplus sl(2) \oplus gl(1)$. Substitution of irreducible $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ modules into (5.29) gives the tensor modules for $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$. In particular, the expressions for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ become $\mathcal{L}_{I^i_j} = I^i_j + \bar{I}^i_j$, $\mathcal{L}_{J^a_b} = J^a_b + \bar{J}^a_b$ and $\mathcal{L}_Z = Z + \bar{Z}$, i.e. two commuting copies of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

To obtain an explicit expression for the vector fields in $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$, we set $\widetilde{Q}^i = f^i(\theta, u)$, three arbitrary polynomial functions. From (5.13) and (5.15) we obtain $P^a_i = -\frac{1}{4}(-)^X \epsilon_{ijk} \widetilde{D}^{ja} f^k$ and

$$X = V_f = f^i \partial_i - \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^f \epsilon_{ijk} \widetilde{D}^i_a f^j \widetilde{D}^{ka}, \tag{5.30}$$

where $f = f^i(\theta, u)\partial_i$ is a vector field acting on $\mathbb{C}^{3|6}$ and $(-)^f = +1$ if f is an even vector field, i.e. f^i is an even function. Due to the symmetry condition (5.16), the components f^i are not independent, but subject to the relation

$$\widetilde{D}^{ia} f^j = -\widetilde{D}^{ja} f^i, \tag{5.31}$$

A $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ bracket is defined by $[V_f, V_g] = V_{[f,g]_{\mathfrak{vle}}}$. We find

$$\begin{aligned}
[f, g]_{\mathfrak{vle}} &= f^i \partial_i g^j \partial_j - (-)^{fg} g^j \partial_j f^i \partial_i \\
&\quad + (-)^f H^m_{ik|jl} \widetilde{D}^{ia} f^k \widetilde{D}^j_a g^l \partial_m - (-)^{fg+g} H^m_{jl|ik} \widetilde{D}^{ja} g^l \widetilde{D}^i_a f^k \partial_m, \\
H^m_{ik|jl} &= \tfrac{1}{4}\epsilon_{ikj}\delta^m_l + \tfrac{1}{16}\epsilon_{ijl}\delta^m_k + \tfrac{1}{16}\epsilon_{jkl}\delta^m_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.32}$$

Finally we list the vector fields f and V_f for $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$:

deg	f	V_f
-2	∂_i	E_i
-1	$-2\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j \partial_k$	D^{ia}
0	$u^i \partial_j - \frac{1}{3} u^k \partial_k \delta^i_j - \epsilon^{ikl}\theta^a_j \theta_{ka} \partial_l$	I^i_j
0	$\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_i \theta_{jb} \partial_k$	J^a_b
0	$2u^i \partial_i$	Z

(5.33)

Other vector fields f of non-positive degree are not compatible with the symmetry condition (5.31).

6 $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$

Let $u = (u^i) \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, and let $z = (z^a) \in \mathbb{C}^2$, $a = 1, 2$. Let $\xi = \xi^i(u)\partial/\partial u^i$ be a vector field, let $X = X^a_b(u) T^b_a$ be an $sl(2)$-valued current, let $\omega = \omega^i_a(u)\partial/du^i dz^a$ be a $\mathbb{C}^2$-valued vector field, and let $\sigma = \sigma^a(u)\partial/\partial z^a$ be a $\mathbb{C}^2$-valued function. Here $T^a_b \in sl(2)$: $[T^a_b, T^c_d] = \delta^c_b T^a_d - \delta^a_d T^c_b$, and

$X^a_a = 0$. $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ has generators $\mathcal{L}_\xi = \mathcal{L}_i(\xi^i)$, $\mathcal{J}_X = \mathcal{J}^b_a(X^a_b)$, $\mathcal{G}_\omega = \mathcal{G}^a_i(\omega^i_a)$ and $\mathcal{S}_\sigma = \mathcal{S}_a(\sigma^a)$. The brackets read [5]

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{L}_\eta] &=& \mathcal{L}_{[\xi,\eta]} = \mathcal{L}_k(\xi^i\partial_i\eta^k - \eta^j\partial_j\xi^k), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{J}_X] &=& \mathcal{J}_{\xi X} = \mathcal{J}^b_a(\xi^i\partial_i X^a_b), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{J}_Y] &=& \mathcal{J}_{[X,Y]} = \mathcal{J}^b_a(Y^a_c X^c_b - X^a_c Y^c_b), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{G}_\omega] &=& \mathcal{G}^a_j(\xi^i\partial_i\omega^j_a + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\xi^i\omega^j_a - \partial_i\xi^j\omega^i_a), \\
[\mathcal{L}_\xi, \mathcal{S}_\sigma] &=& \mathcal{S}_a(\xi^i\partial_i\sigma^a + \frac{1}{2}\partial_i\xi^i\sigma^a), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{G}_\omega] &=& \mathcal{G}^a_i(X^b_a\omega^i_b), \\
[\mathcal{J}_X, \mathcal{S}_\sigma] &=& -\mathcal{S}_a(X^a_b\sigma^b), \\
\{\mathcal{G}_\omega, \mathcal{G}_\upsilon\} &=& 0, \\
\{\mathcal{G}_\omega, \mathcal{S}_\sigma\} &=& \mathcal{L}_i(\omega^i_a\sigma^a) + \mathcal{J}^b_a(\partial_i\omega^i_b\sigma^a - \omega^i_b\partial_i\sigma^a), \\
\{\mathcal{S}_\sigma, \mathcal{S}_\tau\} &=& \epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon_{ab}\mathcal{L}_k(\partial_i\sigma^a\partial_j\tau^b).
\end{array}
\tag{6.1}
$$

Consider $\mathbb{C}^{3|8}$ with basis spanned by three even coordinates u^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$, six odd coordinates θ_{ia}, and two more odd coordinates ϑ^a. Let $\deg\theta_{ia} = 1$, $\deg u^i = 2$ and $\deg\vartheta^a = 3$. The graded Heisenberg algebra has the non-zero relations

$$
\{\eth_b, \vartheta^a\} = \delta^a_b, \qquad [\partial_j, u^i] = \delta^i_j, \qquad \{d^{ia}, \theta_{jb}\} = \delta^i_j\delta^a_b,
\tag{6.2}
$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial u^i$, $d^{ia} = \partial/\partial\theta_{ia}$, and $\eth_a = \partial/\partial\vartheta_a$. The non-positive part of $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8) \subset \mathfrak{vect}(3|8)$ is spanned by the vector fields

deg	vector field
-3	$F_a = \eth_a$
-2	$E_i = \partial_i + \theta^a_i\eth_a$
-1	$D^{ia} = d^{ia} + 3\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\partial_k + \epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\theta^b_k\eth_b + u^i\eth^a$
0	$I^k_l = u^k\partial_l - \theta_{la}d^{ka} - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l(u^i\partial_i - \theta_{ia}d^{ia})$
0	$J^c_d = \vartheta^c\eth_d - \theta_{id}d^{ic} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d(\vartheta^a\eth_a - \theta_{ia}d^{ia})$
0	$Z = 3\vartheta^a\eth_a + 2u^i\partial_i + \theta_{ia}d^{ia}$

(6.3)

The non-zero brackets in $\mathfrak{g}_-$ are

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\{D^{ia}, D^{jb}\} &=& 6\epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ab}E_k, \\
[D^{ia}, E_j] &=& -2\delta^i_j F^a.
\end{array}
\tag{6.4}
$$

To verify these brackets one must make use of (5.6), and the Jacobi identity $\{\{D^{ia}, D^{jb}\}, D^{kc}\} + \text{cyclic} = 0$ requires (5.5).

A basis for $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1} \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_{-2}$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}^{ia} &= d^{ia} - 3\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\partial_k + \epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\theta^b_k\eth_b - u^i\eth^a, \\ \widetilde{E}_i &= \partial_i - \theta^a_i\eth_a, \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

which satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \{\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \widetilde{D}^{jb}\} &= -6\epsilon^{ijk}\epsilon^{ab}\widetilde{E}_k, \\ [\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \widetilde{E}_j] &= 2\delta^i_j F^a, \\ \{D^{ia}, \widetilde{D}^{jb}\} &= [D^{ia}, \widetilde{E}_j] = [\widetilde{D}^{ia}, E_j] = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

Any vector field in $\mathfrak{vect}(3|8)$ has the form

$$X = R^a\eth_a + Q^i\partial_i + P_{ia}d^{ia} = \widetilde{R}^a\eth_a + \widetilde{Q}^i\widetilde{E}_i + P_{ia}\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \tag{6.7}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Q}^i &= Q^i + 3(-)^X\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j P_{ka}, \\ \widetilde{R}^a &= R^a + (-)^X\theta^a_i Q^i + 2\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_i\theta^b_j P_{kb} - u^i P^a_i. \end{aligned} \tag{6.8}$$

X preserves the dual Pfaff equation $\widetilde{D}^{ia} = 0$, i.e.

$$[X, \widetilde{D}^{ia}] = -(-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ia}P_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb}, \tag{6.9}$$

provided that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{Q}^j &= 6(-)^X\epsilon^{ijk}P^a_k, \\ \widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{R}^b &= -2(-)^X\epsilon^{ab}\widetilde{Q}^i. \end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

In particular, we have the symmetry relations

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{Q}^j &= -\widetilde{D}^{ja}\widetilde{Q}^i, \\ \widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{R}^b &= -\widetilde{D}^{ib}\widetilde{R}^b. \end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

Compatibility between

$$[\widetilde{E}_i, X] = (\widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{R}^a + 2P^a_i)F_a + \widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{Q}^j\widetilde{E}_j + \widetilde{E}_i P_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb} \tag{6.12}$$

and (6.6) in the form $\widetilde{E}_i = \frac{1}{24}\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ab}\{\widetilde{D}^{ja}, \widetilde{D}^{kb}\}$, implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{R}^a &= -2P^a_i, \\ \widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{Q}^j &= \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X(\widetilde{D}^{ka}P_{ka}\delta^j_i - \widetilde{D}^{ja}P_{ia}). \end{aligned} \tag{6.13}$$

In particular,

$$\widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{Q}^i = (-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ia}P_{ia}. \tag{6.14}$$

Hence (6.12) can be written as

$$[\widetilde{E}_i, X] = \tfrac{1}{2}(-)^X(\widetilde{D}^{ja}P_{ja}\widetilde{E}_i - \widetilde{D}^{ja}P_{ia}\widetilde{E}_j) + \widetilde{E}_i P_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb}. \tag{6.15}$$

However, (6.13) are identities which follow from (6.10) by considering $\epsilon_{ijl}\epsilon_{ab}\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{D}^{jb}\widetilde{Q}^k$ and $\epsilon_{ijk}\epsilon_{ab}\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{D}^{jb}\widetilde{R}^c$, so no new independent conditions on the vector fields arise.

Since $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ is of depth 3, there are further relations. Compatibility between

$$[F_a, X] = \eth_a\widetilde{R}^b F_b + \eth_a\widetilde{Q}^j\widetilde{E}_j + \eth_a P_{jb}\widetilde{D}^{jb} \tag{6.16}$$

and (6.6) in the form $F^a = \frac{1}{6}[\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \widetilde{E}_i]$ leads to

$$\begin{aligned} 6\eth_a\widetilde{Q}^i &= 6(-)^X\epsilon^{ijk}\widetilde{E}_j P_{ka} + \widetilde{D}^j_a\widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{Q}^i, \\ 3\eth_b\widetilde{R}^a &= (-)^X\delta^a_b\widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{Q}^i - \widetilde{D}^i_b P^a_i. \end{aligned} \tag{6.17}$$

In view of (6.14), this means in particular

$$(-)^X\eth_a\widetilde{R}^a = \widetilde{E}_i\widetilde{Q}^i = (-)^X\widetilde{D}^{ia}P_{ia}. \tag{6.18}$$

Also (6.17) are identities which follow from (6.6), (6.10) and (6.13), so no further independent conditions on the vector fields arise.

The pairings $\langle\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \alpha^j\rangle = \langle\widetilde{D}^{ia}, \beta^b\rangle = 0$ give

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^i &= du^i + 3\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j d\theta_{ka}, \\ \beta^a &= d\vartheta^a - u^i d\theta^a_i + \theta^a_i du^i + 2\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_i\theta^b_j d\theta_{kb}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.19}$$

We now show that α^i and β^a satisfy Pfaff equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_X\theta_{ia} &= P_{ia}, \\ \mathcal{L}_X u^i &= Q^i, \\ \mathcal{L}_X\vartheta^a &= R^a, \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\theta_{ia} &= (-)^X d^{jb}P_{ia}d\theta_{jb} + \partial_j P_{ia}du^j + (-)^X\eth_b P_{ia}d\vartheta^b, \\ \mathcal{L}_X du^i &= -(-)^X d^{jb}Q^i d\theta_{jb} + \partial_j Q^i du^j - (-)^X\eth_b Q^i d\vartheta^b \\ \mathcal{L}_X d\vartheta^a &= (-)^X d^{jb}R^a d\theta_{jb} + \partial_j R^a du^j + (-)^X\eth_b R^a d\vartheta^b. \end{aligned} \tag{6.20}$$

In particular,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
F_c\vartheta^a = \delta^a_c, & F_c d\vartheta^a = 0,\\
F_c\theta_{ia} = F_c d\theta_{ia} = 0, & F_c u^i = F_c du^i = 0,\\
E_k\vartheta^a = \theta^a_k, & E_k d\vartheta^a = d\theta^a_k,\\
E_k u^i = \delta^i_k, & E_k du^i = 0,\\
E_k\theta_{ia} = 0, & E_k d\theta_{ia} = 0,\\
D^{kc}\vartheta^a = \epsilon^{kij}\theta^c_i\theta^a_j + \epsilon^{ca}u^k, & D^{kc}d\vartheta^a = \epsilon^{kij}(\theta^a_i d\theta^c_j + \theta^c_i d\theta^a_j) + \epsilon^{ca}du^k,\\
D^{kc}u^i = 3\epsilon^{ikl}\theta^c_l, & D^{kc}du^i = 3\epsilon^{ikl}d\theta^c_l,\\
D^{kc}\theta_{ia} = \delta^k_i\delta^c_a, & D^{kc}d\theta_{ia} = 0,\\
I^k_l\vartheta^a = 0, & I^k_l d\vartheta^a = 0,\\
I^k_l u^i = \delta^i_l u^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l u^i, & I^k_l du^i = \delta^i_l du^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l du^i,\\
I^k_l\theta_{ia} = -\delta^k_i\theta_{la} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l\theta_{ia}, & I^k_l d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^k_i d\theta_{la} + \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l d\theta_{ia},\\
J^c_d\vartheta^a = \delta^a_d\vartheta^c - \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d\vartheta^a, & J^c_d d\vartheta^a = \delta^a_d d\vartheta^c - \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d d\vartheta^a,\\
J^c_d u^i = 0, & J^c_d du^i = 0,\\
J^c_d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^c_a\theta_{id} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d\theta_{ia}, & J^c_d d\theta_{ia} = -\delta^c_a d\theta_{id} + \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d d\theta_{ia},\\
Z\vartheta^a = 3\vartheta^a, & Zd\vartheta^a = 3d\vartheta^a,\\
Zu^i = 2u^i, & Zdu^i = 2du^i,\\
Z\theta_{ia} = \theta_{ia}, & Zd\theta_{ia} = d\theta_{ia}.
\end{array}
\tag{6.21}
$$

One checks that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
I^k_l\alpha^i = \delta^i_l\alpha^k - \frac{1}{3}\delta^k_l\alpha^i, & I^k_l\beta^a = 0,\\
J^c_d\alpha^i = 0, & J^c_d\beta^a = \delta^a_d\beta^c - \frac{1}{2}\delta^c_d\beta^a,\\
Z\alpha^i = 2\alpha^i, & Z\beta^a = 3\beta^a,\\
D^{kc}\alpha^i = E_k\alpha^i = F_c\alpha^i = 0, & D^{kc}\beta^a = E_k\beta^a = F_c\beta^a = 0.
\end{array}
\tag{6.22}
$$

Thus the systems of Pfaff equations $\beta^a = 0$ and $\alpha^i = \beta^a = 0$ are preserved by $\mathfrak{g}_-$ and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ and therefore by all of $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$. A long calculation gives

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}
\mathcal{L}_X\alpha^i &=& \widetilde{E}_j\widetilde{Q}^i\alpha^j - (-)^X\eth_a\widetilde{Q}^i\beta^a,\\
\mathcal{L}_X\beta^a &=& (-)^X\eth_b\widetilde{R}^a\beta^b,
\end{array}
\tag{6.23}
$$

provided that the conditions (6.10) and (6.13) hold.

Hence $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ has three natural classes of tensor modules, with bases

α^i, β^a and γ^{ia}, and module action

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X \alpha^i &= \widetilde{E}_j \widetilde{Q}^i \alpha^j - (-)^X \eth_a \widetilde{Q}^i \beta^a, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \beta^a &= (-)^X \eth_b \widetilde{R}^a \beta^b, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \gamma^{ia} &= -(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb} \gamma^{jb}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.24}$$

One notes that the homogeneous part of the first equation must define a module action by itself, since β^a transforms homogeneously. Hence we set

$$\mathcal{L}_X \alpha^i = \widetilde{E}_j \widetilde{Q}^i \alpha^j. \tag{6.25}$$

Let us assume that α^i and β^a are fermionic. The volume forms $v_\alpha = \alpha^1\alpha^2\alpha^3$, $v_\beta = \beta^1\beta^2$ and $v_\gamma = \gamma^{11}\gamma^{12}\gamma^{21}\gamma^{22}\gamma^{31}\gamma^{32}$ transform as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X v_\alpha &= \widetilde{E}_i \widetilde{Q}^i v_\alpha, \\
\mathcal{L}_X v_\beta &= (-)^X \eth_a \widetilde{R}^a v_\beta, \\
\mathcal{L}_X v_\gamma &= -(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ia} v_\gamma.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.26}$$

Since v_α and v_β have degree $+6$ each, and v_γ has degree -6, the forms $v_\alpha v_\gamma$ and $v_\beta v_\gamma$ are invariant, which implies the relations (6.18).

From (6.24) we deduce the transformation laws for a scalar density v of weight $+1$, an $sl(3)$ vector $\bar\alpha^i$, an $sl(2)$ vector $\bar\beta^a$, and an $sl(3)\oplus sl(2)$ vector $\bar\gamma^{ia}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X v &= \tfrac16 \partial_i \widetilde{Q}^i v = \tfrac16 (-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ia} v, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \bar\alpha^i &= \tfrac16 (-)^X (\delta^i_j \widetilde{D}^{ka} P_{ka} - 3\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ja}) \bar\alpha^j \\
&= (\widetilde{E}_j \widetilde{Q}^i - \tfrac13 \delta^i_j \widetilde{E}_k \widetilde{Q}^k) \bar\alpha^j, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \bar\beta^a &= \tfrac16 (-)^X (\delta^a_b \widetilde{D}^{ic} P_{ic} - 2\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{ib}) \bar\beta^b \\
&= (-)^X (\eth_b \widetilde{R}^a - \tfrac12 \delta^a_b \eth_c \widetilde{R}^c) \bar\beta^b, \\
\mathcal{L}_X \bar\gamma^{ia} &= \tfrac16 (-)^X (\delta^i_j \delta^a_b \widetilde{D}^{kc} P_{kc} - 6\widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb}) \bar\gamma^{jb}.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.27}$$

Hence we obtain the explicit realization

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_X &= X + \tfrac16 (-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} P_{jb} (-3\delta^b_a \bar I^j_i - 2\delta^j_i \bar J^b_a + \delta^j_i \delta^b_a \bar Z) \\
&= X + \widetilde{E}_j \widetilde{Q}^i \bar I^j_i + (-)^X \eth_b \widetilde{R}^a \bar J^b_a + \tfrac16 \widetilde{E}_i \widetilde{Q}^i \bar Z,
\end{aligned} \tag{6.28}$$

where $\bar I^i_j$, $\bar J^a_b$ and $\bar Z$ generate the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = sl(3)\oplus sl(2)\oplus gl(1)$ and X commutes with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. By introducing canonical conjugate oscillators $\bar\alpha^*_i$, $\bar\beta^*_a$ and v^*, subject to the Heisenberg algebra $[\bar\alpha^*_i, \bar\alpha^j] = \delta^j_i$, $[\bar\beta^*_a, \bar\beta^b] = \delta^b_a$, $[v^*, v] = 1$, we obtain the explicit expression for the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ generators: $\bar I^i_j = \bar\alpha^i \bar\alpha^*_j - \frac13 \delta^i_j \bar\alpha^k \bar\alpha^*_k$, $\bar J^a_b = \bar\beta^a \bar\beta^*_b - \frac12 \delta^a_b \bar\beta^c \bar\beta^*_c$ and $\bar Z = vv^*$. However, it is clear that $\mathcal{L}_X$ will satisfy the same algebra for every representation of $sl(3)\oplus sl(2)\oplus gl(1)$. Substitution of irreducible $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ modules into (6.28) gives the tensor modules for $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$. In particular, the expressions for $\mathfrak{g}_0$ become $\mathcal{L}_{I^i_j} = I^i_j + \bar{I}^i_j$, $\mathcal{L}_{J^a_b} = J^a_b + \bar{J}^a_b$ and $\mathcal{L}_Z = Z + \bar{Z}$, i.e. two commuting copies of $\mathfrak{g}_0$.

To obtain an explicit expression for the vector fields in $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$, we set $\widetilde{R}^a = f^a(\theta, u, \vartheta)$, two arbitrary polynomial functions. From (6.8) and (6.10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Q}^i &= \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} f_a, \\ P^a_i &= \tfrac{1}{48}\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{D}^{ja}\widetilde{D}^{kb} f_b, \end{aligned} \tag{6.29}$$

i.e.

$$X \equiv M_f = f^a \eth_a + \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^f \widetilde{D}^{ia} f_a \widetilde{E}_i + \tfrac{1}{48}\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{D}^i_a \widetilde{D}^{jb} f_b \widetilde{D}^{ka}, \tag{6.30}$$

where $f = f^a(\theta, u, \vartheta)\eth_a$ is a vector field acting on $\mathbb{C}^{3|8}$ and $(-)^f = +1$ if f is an even vector field, i.e. f^a is an odd function. Due to the symmetry condition (6.11), the components f^a are not independent, but subject to the relations

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{D}^{ia} f^b &= -\widetilde{D}^{ib} f^a, \\ \widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{D}^{jb} f_b &= -\widetilde{D}^{ja}\widetilde{D}^{ib} f_b. \end{aligned} \tag{6.31}$$

$\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ contains an $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$ subalgebra. We see from (6.23) that if $\eth_b \widetilde{Q}^a = 0$, then the Pfaff equation $\alpha^i = 0$ is preserved, not just the combined Pfaff equations $\alpha^i = \beta^a = 0$. This condition defines a subalgebra since additional structure is preserved. $\widetilde{Q}^i$ and P_{ia} can now be expressed in terms of three ϑ-independent functions

$$f^i(\theta, u) = \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^X \widetilde{D}^{ia} f_a(\theta, u, \vartheta), \tag{6.32}$$

as $\widetilde{Q}^i = f^i$ and $P^a_i = \frac{1}{48}\epsilon_{ijk}\widetilde{D}^{ja} f^k$. It is clear from (6.7) that when $\widetilde{Q}^i$ and P_{ia} do not depend on ϑ, the bracket between two vector fields is completely determined by the part $X' = \widetilde{Q}^i \partial_i + P_{ia}\widetilde{D}^{ia}$, and hence the subalgebra is $\mathfrak{vle}(3|6)$. Note that f^a still depends on ϑ, in the way specified by (6.32).

An $\mathfrak{mb}(3|8)$ bracket is defined by $[M_f, M_g] = M_{[f,g]_{\mathfrak{mb}}}$. We find

$$\begin{aligned} [f,g]_{\mathfrak{mb}} &= f^a \partial_a g^b \eth_b - (-)^{fg} g^b \partial_b f^a \eth_a \\ &\quad + \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^f \widetilde{D}^{ia} f_a \widetilde{E}_i g^b \eth_b - \tfrac{1}{4}(-)^{fg+g}\widetilde{D}^{jb} g_b \widetilde{E}_j f^a \eth_a \\ &\quad + \epsilon_{ikj} H^e_{abd}\widetilde{D}^{ia}\widetilde{D}^{kc} f_c \widetilde{D}^{jb} g^d \eth_e \\ &\quad - (-)^{fg}\epsilon_{jli} H^e_{bad}\widetilde{D}^{jb}\widetilde{D}^{lc} g_c \widetilde{D}^{ia} f^d \eth_e, \\ H^e_{abd} &= -\tfrac{1}{48}\epsilon_{ab}\delta^d_e - \tfrac{1}{96}\epsilon_{bd}\delta^e_a. \end{aligned} \tag{6.33}$$

Finally we list some of the vector fields f and M_f for $\mathfrak{g}_0 \ltimes \mathfrak{g}_-$:

deg	f	M_f
-3	$\eth_a$	F_a
-2	$2\theta^a_i\eth_a$	E_i
-1	$2u^i\eth^a + 6\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\theta^b_k\eth_b$	D^{ia}
0	$3\vartheta^a\eth_a + u^i\theta^a_i\eth_a$	Z

(6.34)

To compute the vector fields f which correspond to I^i_j and J^a_b is quite tedious and has not been attempted. However, since $\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_j\theta_{ka} \equiv 0$ it is clear that $M_f = I^i_j$ for f some linear combination of $u^i\theta^a_j\eth_a$ and $\epsilon^{ikl}\theta^a_j\theta_{ka}\theta^b_l\eth_b$, and $M_f = J^a_b$ for f some linear combination of $\vartheta^a\eth_b$, $u^i\theta^a_i\eth_b$ and $\epsilon^{ijk}\theta^a_i\theta_{bj}\theta^c_k\eth_c$; the right combinations are found by demanding that the symmetry conditions (6.31) hold. Other vector fields of non-positive degree are not compatible with (6.31).

7 Acknowledgement

I am grateful to D. Leites for discussions and for giving me preprints before publication. I first heard about the exceptional Lie superalgebras and their possible connection to the standard model in talks by V. Kac and A. Rudakov at the Fields Institute in the fall of 2000. I thank S. Berman and Y. Billig for inviting me there.

References

[1] Alekseevsky D., Leites D., Shchepochkina I.: *Examples of simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci., **34** 1187–1190, (1980) (in Russian)

[2] Bernstein J. and Leites, D.: *Invariant differential operators and irreducible representations of Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* Sel. Math. Sov. **1** 143–160 (1981)

[3] Cartan, E.: *Les groupes des transformations continués, infinis, simples.* Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup. **26**, 93–161 (1909)

[4] Cheng, S.-J. and Kac, V.G.: *A new $N = 6$ superconformal algebra.* Comm. Math. Phys. **186**, 219–231 (1997)

[5] Cheng, S.-J. and Kac, V.G.: *Structure of some $\mathbb{Z}$-graded Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* Transformation groups **4**, 219–272 (1999)

[6] Kac, V.G.: *Lie superalgebras.* Adv. Math. 26, 8–96 (1977)

[7] Kac, V.G.: *Superconformal algebras and transitive group actions on quadrics.* Comm. Math. Phys. **186**, 233–252 (1997)

[8] Kac, V.G.: *Classification of infinite-dimensional simple linearly compact Lie superalgebras.* Adv. Math. **139**, 1–55 (1998)

[9] Kac, V.G.: *Classification of infinite-dimensional simple groups of supersymmetry and quantum field theory.* math.QA/9912235 (1999).

[10] Larsson, T.A.: *Symmetries of Everything.* math-ph/0103013 (2001)

[11] Leites, D.: *Lie superalgebras.* J. Soviet Math. **30**, 2481–2512 (1985)

[12] Leites, D. and Shchepochkina, I.: *Towards classification of simple vectorial Lie superalgebras.* In Leites D. (ed.): *Seminar on Supermanifolds*, Reports of Stockholm University, 30/1988-13 and 31/1988-14 (1988)

[13] Leites, D. and Shchepochkina, I.: *Classification of the simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* preprint (2000)

[14] Leites, D.: *Toward classification of classical Lie superalgebras.* In: Nahm Z., Chau L. (eds.) *Differential geometric methods in theoretical physics*, (Davis, CA, 1988), NATO Adv. Sci. Inst. Ser. B Phys., **245**, Plenum, New York, 633–651 (1990)

[15] Shchepochkina, I.: *Exceptional simple infinite dimensional Lie superalgebras.* C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **36**, 313–314 (1983) (in Russian)

[16] Shchepochkina, I.: *The five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields.* `hep-th/9702121` (a preliminary version of [17])

[17] Shchepochkina, I.: *Five exceptional simple Lie superalgebras of vector fields and their fourteen regradings.* Represent. Theory, **3**, 373–415 (1999)

[18] Shchepochkina, I. and Post G.: *Explicit bracket in an exceptional simple Lie superalgebra.* Internat. J. Algebra Comput. **8**, 479–495 (1998) `physics/9703022`